



In reply please quote file:
En réponse, veuillez indiquer:

28 June 2010

SUMMARY REPORT FOR PACREIP (PACIFIC ISLANDS FORUM SECRETARIAT)

SPC Regional Terrestrial and Aquatic Animal Disease Reporting Workshop

Date: 21st – 25th June 2010

Venue: Novotel International Hotel, Nadi, Fiji.

Collaborating agencies: Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat (PIFS)
European Commission (EC) through PIFS
Coral Reefs Initiative of the South Pacific (CRISP)
Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC)
Network of Aquaculture Centres of Asia-Pacific (NACA)
Walt Smith International Inc.

1. Executive Summary

By the close of this second of two SPC Workshops on Terrestrial and Aquatic Animal Health Reporting by Pacific Island Countries and Territories (PICTs) held on 21st – 25th June 2010 (the first Workshop had been held in April 2009), first-time submissions to OIE of six-monthly and annual reports using the online WAHIS system have now been made by seven of the eight PICTs represented at the second workshop. The seven, whose reports were for the 2008 reporting period, are FSM, Fiji, Kiribati, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga and Vanuatu. Representatives of PNG prepared reports for 2008 which are now ready for on-line submission, and these were “saved as draft” in the WAHIS system for final checking by their country’s OIE Delegate. Reports have also been lodged for 2009 by four of these eight countries - FSM, Samoa, Tonga and Vanuatu.

The national participants who attended this second workshop identified various recommendations which they endorsed for follow-up action and adoption at national or regional level (as appropriate), in order to further strengthen capacity to lodge and regularly update animal health reports to OIE using the on-line WAHIS system.

2. Overview

Pacific Island countries and territories (PICTs) will be able to continue exports of marine ornamental products to the European Union (EU), conditional upon improving their reporting on the status of terrestrial and aquatic animal health in the region to the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE). A general lack of animal health reporting capacity had recently become a specific trade facilitation issue affecting the Pacific region’s export trade in marine ornamental aquatic species worth approximately USD 20 million annually. In 2009, the EU introduced new legislation requiring

competent authorities of countries exporting live ornamental fish to the EU to meet particular reporting requirements, including mandatory country membership of the OIE. At present, Fiji, Vanuatu, Papua New Guinea, Federated States of Micronesia and New Caledonia are the only Pacific Island members of OIE apart from Australia and New Zealand.

The Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC) successfully negotiated with the EU to maintain this trade opportunity, with the result that SPC member countries do not now have to be OIE members in order to export marine ornamentals to the EU. However, the EU's agreement is conditional on these PICTs meeting the terrestrial and aquatic animal health reporting requirements of OIE.

OIE's web-based World Animal Health Information System (WAHIS) is the standard system for reporting a country's terrestrial and aquatic animal health status. This workshop was organised for relevant fisheries, quarantine and animal health staff in using the WAHIS system and animal health reporting procedures. Its focus was to ensure that countries currently exporting aquatic ornamentals are able to comply with OIE reporting procedures and can make regular reports. The WAHIS training allows PICTs to demonstrate their animal health status in regard to their potential terrestrial and aquatic, live animals and livestock export products.

The workshop also provided an opportunity to discuss the possible scope and functions of regional animal health information systems that could be developed specifically for the Pacific Islands region, pinpoint constraints in implementation of systems like WAHIS or TADinfo in Pacific island countries, and develop strategies to address the constraints.

This workshop is a follow-on from the first training on the WAHIS system that was conducted for a number of PICTs in April 2009 by SPC in association with OIE and FAO. Subsequent follow-up had shown that additional work is required in order to strengthen countries' ability to comply with the reporting requirements and operate the WAHIS system. The follow-up training provided an opportunity for non-OIE SPC member countries to participate in the OIE information network for terrestrial and aquatic animal health.

The training was coordinated by SPC's Animal Health and Production team in collaboration with the Aquaculture Section of the SPC Fisheries, Aquaculture and Marine Environments (FAME) division, with funding from the EU through the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat, and from Coral Reef Initiative for the South Pacific (CRISP).

3. Workshop opening and objectives.

The workshop was opened by Dr Ken Cokanasiga (SPC) who welcomed participants to Fiji and offered a very warm welcome to visiting Terrestrial and Aquatic Health Specialists from the PICTs and distinguished guests Dr Eduardo Leño (Network of Aquaculture Centres of Asia-Pacific, Bangkok) and Walt Smith from Walt Smith International Inc. Dr Tim Pickering (SPC) spoke on behalf of Dr Dale Hamilton (PIFS), who had sent his apologies, to highlight the importance of animal health reporting in facilitation of international trade by the Pacific region.

Chief Guest Speaker Walt Smith presented an overview of the marine ornamental trade in the Pacific, with a summary of the commodities traded, their value, and the main markets of which the EU is a significant one. He stressed that rural livelihood opportunities are being created in the Pacific by the close involvement and partnerships of companies like his with rural communities. For these opportunities to be maintained, it is important that PICTs be able to comply with any necessary requirements for continued access to overseas markets for our marine products.

Dr Tim Pickering followed this with an update about the outcomes of SPC advocacy to EU on behalf of SPC member countries, and the roles that would need to be adopted in terms of international expectations that animal health status be visible in order for trade to continue to flourish. He concluded by outlining the key objectives of the workshop:

- Provide an overview and practical training in the use of World Animal Health Information Management System (WAHIS);
 - Facilitate the development of disease reporting systems in non-OIE member countries (for both terrestrial and aquatic animal commodities – including ornamental fish);
 - Assist those PICTs who have not yet lodged any report for terrestrial and aquatic animal commodities to lodge a first report;
 - Provide information on the implications of EU legislative amendments for countries that export ornamental fish to the EU;
 - Increase awareness of aquatic disease risks likely to have implications for international trade in marine ornamental fish from the Pacific.
- 4. Country reports about marine ornamental industries, national policies, and animal health reporting status**

Participants presented an Overview of Animal Disease Reporting in each country/territory which provided summaries of the following issues:

- Whether there is an industry exporting marine ornamental fish to EU
- Current capacity for reporting of animal health status;
 - Government agency(ies) responsible for investigating and compiling reports on the occurrence of animal diseases
 - Internal mechanisms to collate aquatic animal health information for reporting
 - Any legislation that requires notification of serious animal diseases to a relevant government agency, and the list of the diseases that are notifiable
 - The specific information management system (either paper-based or electronic) used to collate and record disease information
 - The main issues or constraints for timely and effective animal health reporting

This was followed by a general discussion to highlight any commonality of issues across the region.

The complete Powerpoint presentations given by participants, along with those of resource persons and other background documents, are appended to this report as electronic copies in a separate folder, and are also available from SPC (anjum@spc.int) upon request. The main points that emerged from the presentations and subsequent discussion are summarised below.

Federated States of Micronesia

Legal jurisdictions span four states, Yap, Chuuk, Pohnpei, and Kosrae. Marine ornamental exports started in 2005, and the EU is a significant market. The relationship between jurisdictions can be complex – four states, plus a federal authority. There is no legislation specific to disease and reporting. FSM is already a member of OIE. They have in force a Quarantine Act 2006 FSM Code Title 22, and a Trust Territory Export Meat Inspection Act which focuses on human health export standards, but not biosecurity. They need to develop specific legislation for this, and to enhance their capacity and institutional arrangements. They lack a specific reporting protocol for animal health. An Animal Disease Suspect Report Form is in place, but there is no database. For surveillance they rely mainly upon Extension Agents of the College of Micronesia Land Grant Programme to make reports of any new incidence. There is legislation “FSMC Title 23 ” which covers inshore fisheries and aquaculture, and this could be relevant to reporting of diseases. DR&D is responsible for Animal Health and OIE reporting. Their 2008 OIE reports are already uploaded. The government intends to form an Animal Health Committee. There is Risk Assessment training underway by FAO for aquatic animal introductions and translocations. A Biosecurity Bill is currently under review.

Challenges in FSM include:

- No dedicated Animal Health service;
- No regulations or protocols or database for disease;
- Need to develop staff guidelines for OIE compliance;
- Need training and attachments of staff to SPC/IOE;
- Need to expand Paravet programme to include aquatic animal diseases

Fiji

Nationally there are two private-sector enterprises with a track record of exporting marine ornamental fish to the EU; Walt Smith International Inc., and Aquarium Fish Fiji. The disease risk of such exports to the EU is very low, because the OIE-listed diseases of concern to EU are for freshwater or coldwater fish species so not really relevant to the situation of Pacific regional marine ornamental exports. In addition to the national-level reporting requirements now placed upon competent authorities by EU, individual companies must also work hard to meet new EU certification standards for each shipment they send out. Overall Fiji has a comparatively good level of capacity in terms of veterinarians and supporting infrastructure. Fiji is an IOE member and Fiji Biosecurity is the focal point nationally and signatory for EU. Both the National Veterinary Pathology Laboratory and the University of the South Pacific has PCR diagnostic capacity. Agencies with responsibility and/or capacity in animal health include Animal Health & Production Division (OIE Delegate, OIE Focal Point for Terrestrial and Aquatics), Biosecurity of Fiji Islands (Signatories for certification to EU), Department of Fisheries (repository of expertise in fish) and the University of the South Pacific (Marine Studies Programme, Institute of Marine Resources, and School of Agriculture). The process for notifications were well spelt out in repealed legislation, but are not so well spelled out in current Biosecurity legislation. On-going review and amendment may be needed to establish clear-cut demarcations in jurisdictions and roles. There is currently no process of animal health reporting for aquatic organisms, and the focus of reporting is upon terrestrial livestock. Their database and OIE reporting is all paper-based at present. Staff need training in on-line systems. Inter-departmental communications to collect and compile information for reporting is the biggest constraint at present. Sections with responsibilities are under-staffed and under-resourced. There is some confusion over new roles under the Biosecurity Bill. Any work that can be done to enhance clarity of roles, provide training and facilitate inter-agency communications will be very useful.

Kiribati

One company exports ornamental-size giant clams to EU and US, but they are constrained by low-tech production methods and by a shortage of air cargo space. In total eight companies are based on Kiritimati Islands and two on Tarawa. They export live fish, mainly flame angel, lemon peel, emperor angel, Griffis Angel and Gold Flake. These are shipped initially to Australia, Fiji or Hawaii for re-export to the US or Europe. The Department of Agriculture is responsible for disease reporting, and no diseases have yet been detected. Reporting for animal health is paper-based. Preparedness and response plans are approved by Cabinet. There is limited technical capacity, and reporting is hindered by a geography of widely scattered islands with poor communications or transport links. There is a lack of functioning computers with which to enter data. Current capacity for reporting of animal health status is consequently quite low. Government relies upon flow of information from the public to Fisheries or Agriculture Officer-in-charge to Principal Officer and thence to Director, who then formulates measures and responses for Cabinet approval. There is no supporting legislation that requires timely or effective reporting to be done. There is a lack of local aquatic animal disease know-how, and aquatic diseases are not addressed in the Fisheries Act despite its recent revision.

Papua New Guinea

Currently there are no live animal exports to EU, and no export of live ornamental fish to anywhere, however PNG does have export potential and feasibility studies are now being conducted. The National Agriculture Quarantine and Inspection Authority (NAQIA) is responsible for investigating

and compiling reports on animal disease occurrences (for both terrestrial and aquatic animal diseases). The applicable legislation is the Animal Disease and Control Act 1952, and Animal Disease and Control Regulation 1955. PNG accepts the OIE listed diseases as Notifiable. A new Biosecurity Bill is in the pipeline. PNG is a member of OIE. Reports of animal health are both paper-based and electronic – they use TADinfo as the national electronic animal disease database. They are currently trialling a weekly reporting system national-wide (Provincial Animal Health Surveillance and Reporting System), using the District Livestock Officers as the “eyes&ears”. The main challenges are: timeliness of reporting, quality of reporting, testing and surveillance is costly, lack of animal health focus in other relevant agencies, for example the National Fisheries Authority (NFA). Staff need more training in the use of TADinfo. Provincial Livestock Officers (PLO’s) need more training on timely and quality reporting. PNG asks, for OIE reporting, can TADinfo be linked to WAHIS? This would avoid the need for double-entry manually of animal health information. PNG has no information-collecting mechanisms for aquatic animal health as yet. It is NFA that certifies aquatic product exports to EU and US. Prawns are virus-tested in Australia, but it’s costly. No YHV or WSSV has yet been detected in cultured or wild marine shrimp in PNG waters. Some wild shrimp PCR-test positive for IHNNV.

Samoa

Samoa has very little capacity in diagnosis or management of aquatic diseases. It is not an OIE member. There are no exports of live aquatic organisms to EU. Livestock illness cases are attended by a Livestock Officer who makes a paper report, and this is next transferred to an MS Access database. This information is used for reporting to OIE every 6 months. Samoa has no legislation that requires notification of serious animal diseases. Staff turnover is a big issue – they have several vacancies at present. Only the veterinarian enters data, because it is too time-consuming to train others only to have them leave the job. Field staff have poor reporting skills, and reports made are often verbal. There is little diagnostic laboratory capacity. Access to computers and to the internet is problematic. Of the two main islands in Samoa, only Upolu has internet access. There are no formal internal mechanisms to collate and report aquatic animal health information for reporting. Fisheries Department is consulted regarding compilation of OIE reports, however they have little aquatic disease capacity. This reflects the fact that aquatic animal diseases have largely been a non-issue for Samoa so far in its history.

Solomon Islands

There are no live aquatic exports to EU. Solomon Islands does have a marine ornamental industry, which exports to US. Seaweed is exported to EU, but is (a) a plant, not an animal, and (b) it is dead and dried. Animal health reporting is “passive” in the sense that surveillance is based upon what farmers report as being a problem. WAHIS has been recently introduced, however Animal Health and Fisheries authorities need to collaborate more to collate data for entry. There has been no in-country database on animal health and disease for last 10 years, owing to destruction wreaked during the ethnic tensions of 2000. The last animal health report was made in 1997. In terms of capacity to report, they have one staff trained on WAHIS. Paravets are being trained to report any animal health issues. There is lack of formal surveillance owing to tight operating budgets. There is a lack of awareness among resource managers and decision makers about the need for reporting. There is no formal mechanism in place to collect any animal health information for reporting. Previously there was a Field Visit forms system, but this has fallen into disuse.

Tonga

Marine ornamental commodities are exported, which include live rock, aquacultured coral, giant clams, and a range of invertebrates like zooanthids and corallimorphs. Live rock is a “big money” industry for Tonga, however a ban imposed on export of wild-harvested rock has led to the market shifting to Vanuatu. Four species of giant clam are cultured, and targets the EU market for marine ornamentals. Clams are also used domestically for community stock enhancement. Coral aquaculture techniques have been introduced by Walt Smith International, as has aquacultured live rock techniques. Tonga’s focus is now upon artificial propagation by aquaculture techniques to

target the international marine ornamental trade. Collection and farming areas are managed, and the number of licences is limited (4 companies, 2 active), under Aquarium Management Plan 2008. Tonga is not a member of OIE. No aquatic disease issues are currently known about, and do not seem to cause any problems for anybody in Tonga. Relevant legislation includes the Fisheries Management Act 2003 & Regulations 2008, Aquaculture Act 2004 & Regulations 2008, and Aquarium Management Plan 2008.

Vanuatu

Beef cattle is an important industry. The only live exports at present are marine ornamental fish. Two companies export live fish (Sustainable Reef Supplies, and Reef Life Vanuatu). They are secretive and communication with them is difficult, for example the private sector would not give much information for this country report. SRS supplies EU, among others. No credible data has been provided to government on number of pieces exported or their value. RLV sells to Germany, UK, France, Australia and USA. Flame Angel is the main species of fish exported, and shipments also include Wrasses, Anthias, Damsels and Tangs, starfish, anemones, and giant clams *T. squamosa* and *T. maxima*. Malachite green, CuSO_4 and nitrofurazone are used as prophylactics for shipment, not because there are any serious pathogens but to prevent opportunistic infections of any small wounds resulting from handling and transport. Any fish will get “diseased” if over-crowded or mis-handled, but in Vanuatu no incurable or serious disease issues have arisen and fish mortalities are generally low. There are social issues in the marine ornamental industry, for example, are villagers paid fairly? Many jobs go to expatriate Filipino divers. Limitations placed upon the number and size of collection grounds under management plans means that only a few communities can benefit. Only Efate Island communities are engaged. There is a possibility of local-area target stock depletion if the harvest effort is intensified into small areas. Fisheries Department needs to monitor and report on stock status more frequently. The marine ornamental industry gets a very bad press from tourist operators who see fish collection as competition with their own industry to derive economic benefits from the same reef resources. The Ministry responsible for animal health is the Ministry of Agriculture, Quarantine, Forestry and Fisheries (MAQFF). Within that ministry are four departments; Department of Agriculture, Department Livestock and Quarantine, Department of Forestry, and Department of Fisheries. The Livestock and Quarantine Department of the MAQFF is responsible for animal health issues in Vanuatu, but will soon become a separate organisation called Biosecurity Vanuatu in 2011. It is hoped that this restructure will improve efficiency, services, and budget. Vanuatu operates a Sentinel Farms system for surveillance to monitor and detect outbreaks – 25 farms are monitored twice a year, and their health history is recorded. The Department of Fisheries is the competent authority for aquatic disease surveillance and reporting. Surveillance is costly due to lack of staff and cost of visits. They need more livestock staff, and to be based upon additional islands. The Animal Importation and Quarantine Act covers export certification as well. Vanuatu has a range of legislation in this area. Notifiable diseases listed in national legislation are those of the OIE List.

Day One discussion - What did participants expect to gain from this workshop?

Participants were invited to set out their expectations in terms of what they hoped to gain from the workshop. Points raised are listed below:

- Increased awareness about Pacific island animal diseases, and about aquatic diseases
- Increased skills and capacity in the use of the WAHIS system
- Become able to submit their country’s first-ever six-monthly and annual reports to OIE
- Exchange experiences about surveillance and reporting mechanisms
- Identify reporting constraints and develop strategies to address them

- Form national-level working relationships between agencies, and identify appropriate focal points for reporting
- Develop capacity to establish a comprehensive database for collection and reporting of terrestrial and aquatic species within country
- Identify how Fisheries Acts can provide for aquatic aspects of OIE WAHIS reporting to facilitate trade in live aquatic organisms.
- Find out how aquatic disease diagnosis, specimen referral, technical advice and training can be accessed both regionally and internationally to fill gaps in national capacity
- Increase their capacity to fulfil the obligations and responsibilities of OIE membership/compliance.

Day One discussion – Common issues across the Pacific region

From exchange of views across the floor of the meeting, the following issues emerged as having a commonality across virtually all of the participating PICTs.

- Capacity to undertake on-going surveillance of animal health is weak, owing to fragmented geographies, high inter-island travel costs, poor communications links, and low number of trained staff. Participants want to gain an understanding of how there could be a regional framework for such surveillance. A regional approach to surveillance has been done before with SPC assistance to implement periodic surveys, however it requires staff and resources which cannot be allocated unless countries' Ministries of Planning accord it priority for externally-funded support.
- How can TADinfo be made to work with OIE reporting? At the moment, information cannot be transferred from TADinfo to WAHIS. PNG does both, so has to do double-reporting. Other systems are also possible but, irrespective of the system, first PICTs need to collect information (at least as hard-copy).
- Biosecurity legislation is mainly outdated, and not SPS compliant. Only Cook Islands and Fiji have updated legislation through the adoption of the SPC initiated regional biosecurity bill template, to become consistent with OIE, Codex etc. There is also need for development of appropriate Regulations for effective implementation of the legislation, and aquaculture and ballast water issues need to be covered.
- National-level links to share information and compile it for reports is the main constraint for some countries. Countries have to form their own networks for information flow to make a report through one channel.
- Some countries have problems with on-line access, either due to infrastructure issues with internet services or due to difficulties in retaining and using the correct OIE WAHIS access passwords.
- Animal health staff from terrestrial backgrounds will need training on identification of aquatic diseases. If they don't know them, they will not report them!

5. Addressing animal health reporting constraints – Group Work

Participatory group exercises were conducted to find out possible follow-on actions from points raised in country reports, and compile a comprehensive list of issues that might constrain countries from fulfilling responsibilities toward OIE compliance sufficient to maintain their opportunities for trade with EU.

Group techniques of “Silent Brainstorming” and “World cafe” were used to identify constraints, and formulate actions to address them. A summation of issues and recommended actions is listed here:

- Country focal points to get occasional refreshers on use of WAHIS. Training and familiarisation in the use of the Aquatic Codes to be incorporated. There should be an OIE nominated contact for enquiries, and to provide feed-back from OIE about the adequacy of country reports. Could an IOE “Helpdesk” facility be established? There will need to be clarification of the status of OIE members vs. non-members.
- Advocate for better management of focal point succession issues within departments, to maintain consistent reportage. For example, OIE reporting functions should be highlighted in departmental Workplans, and be explicitly included in relevant job descriptions and duty statements.
- Address computer literacy of national WAHIS users - either provide necessary training in use of computer, GPS, relevant software (e.g. Google earth) or make formal arrangement to forward hard-copy reports to SPC for on-line submission
- SPC to take a regional role in development of surveillance guidelines and manuals for terrestrial and aquatic animal diseases, aimed at Paravets. It should be explored whether a single regional manual will suffice, or whether national manuals will need to be tailored for specific countries.
- Member governments should each have an electronic data management system in place. TADinfo is one possible system, however there are simpler options that can be explored. This could be either a Spreadsheet template, or a tailor-made system with access to other SPC databases such as regional fisheries production.
- National-level MOU’s can be drafted to develop a formal arrangement between terrestrial and aquatic competent authorities for data sharing. Relevant staff should make the effort to foster informal official-to-official contacts and working relationships with inter-departmental counterparts.
- MOUs between SPC and SPC member governments for collaboration in information (including data collection) and resource material sharing, and reporting by SPC to OIE on behalf of some countries.
- SPC to liase with OIE about possible development of a data transfer interface from TADinfo to WAHIS
- SPC to liase with NACA about disease diagnosis and technical assistance through SPC Associate Membership, and prepare a briefing paper for SPC membership on “modalities” of working with NACA.
- Opportunities should be identified for vets and paravets to receive in-service training or external work-experience attachments in aquatic animal health, to strengthen discharge of statutory functions.

6. Briefings by resource persons

Dr Eduardo Leano provided an introduction to NACA, of which SPC is an Associate Member on behalf of SPC members, and focused upon the aquatic diseases capability of NACA which includes regional reference laboratories, advisory services, and training opportunities. Dr Leano also provided overviews about the main aquatic animal diseases, both IOE-listed and non-listed, likely to be of concern to the Pacific, in terms of how to diagnose these diseases and manage outbreaks.

During hands-on WAHIS training, Dr Leano provided realistic aquatic animal disease scenarios with which to try out the WAHIS system, and helped participants to make appropriate choices in filling out aquatic sections of their OIE six-monthly and annual reports.

Outlines of the presentations by Dr Leano are provided here, as follows.

OIE-Listed Diseases (Aquatic Animals)

The presentation highlighted the role of veterinarians (including aquatic animal health specialists) on their role for public health, control of risks along the food chain and on overall all welfare of the animals. Knowing that these services are global public goods, it should be considered as a public investment priority. The criteria for listing aquatic animal diseases under OIE list were presented detailing the parameter(s) that need to be met under three different criteria: Consequences; Spread; and Diagnosis.

The OIE list of aquatic animal diseases for 2008 and 2009, and detailed information on some of these diseases, were presented. These include the following:

- Finfishes: Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome; Koi Herpesvirus Disease; Spring Viremia of Carp
- Crustaceans: White Spot Disease; Taura Syndrome; Yellow Head Disease; White Tail Disease; Infectious Myonecrosis

The role of exporting countries on aquatic animal health certification was also discussed, and it was highlighted that the OIE accredited Aquatic Animal Health Services should be accountable for all the health certifications used for international trade.

NACA: Aquatic Animal Health Programme

A brief introduction of NACA as an intergovernmental organization with 18 member countries in the Asia-Pacific was presented. NACA resources (downloadable publications) are also available to the public for free. Aquatic animal health program is one of the on-going programs of NACA with the purpose to reduce risks of aquatic animal disease impacting on livelihoods of aquafarmers, national economies, trade and human health. The expected outputs of the programs were discussed including:

1. Regional and international cooperation in aquatic animal health improved
2. Practical national strategies developed, adopted and implemented in member countries
3. Surveillance, reporting and response to disease emergencies in the region improved
4. Harmonized diagnostic procedures and approaches to risk assessment developed in the region
5. Widespread adoption of better aquatic animal health management practices in the region

The presentation highlighted the surveillance and reporting of OIE and non-OIE listed diseases of aquatic animals among the 21 participating governments through the Quarterly Aquatic Animal Disease (QAAD) reporting system. The system has been running since the 3rd quarter of 1998 and has published 47 reports to date.

Emerging and Non OIE-Listed Diseases (Aquatic Animals) in the Asia Pacific

As a follow-up presentation on OIE-listed diseases, other aquatic animal diseases that are important in the Asia-Pacific region were presented. The list is based on the reports received by NACA on emerging disease problems of fish, crustaceans and molluscs reared under aquaculture condition. Some of these diseases (considering the tropical climate of most Asia-Pacific countries) might pose threat to the aquaculture industry of PICTs, once intensification of culture systems and introduction of new culture species are introduced. The diseases that were discussed include:

- Finfish: Grouper iridoviral disease; Viral encephalopathy and retinopathy (also known as viral nervous necrosis or VNN); Enteric Septicaemia of catfish; *Streptococcus agalactiae* infection in Tilapia; and *Nocardia* spp. and *Tenacibaculum maritimum* infections in marine fishes
- Crustaceans: Monodon Slow Growth Syndrome; Necrotising hepatopancreatitis;
- Mollusc: Akoya Oyster disease

The importance of recognizing disease signs which might represent more than one disease should be noted when observing any disease outbreaks. The need to contact accredited Aquatic Animal Health Services or Fish Health Experts is emphasized, for important instructions and procedures that should be taken into account when there's a need to collect infected samples for confirmatory diagnosis.

Biosecurity Information Facility (BIF)

Dr Roy Masamdu (SPC Biosecurity and Trade Facilitation Officer) made a presentation to raise awareness about a regional biosecurity database being implemented with SPC assistance, named the Biosecurity Information Facility (BIF). The new Facility will improve information exchange with trading partner countries, and between importers/exporters, biosecurity authorities, and state agencies responsible for policy decisions. It is a web-based information system that shows how to operate as a quarantine/biosecurity service as required by trading partners, by providing practitioners with guidelines on what to do at the workplace, and technical and legal guidance and support for decisions at critical points in the process of import and export clearance of all types of commodities.

The BIF began as a Manual of procedures and reference data for the determination and implementation of a Biosecurity Service's obligations at a national and international level for technically valid sanitary (zoo-sanitary) and phytosanitary measures. With the addition of many reference texts and linkages to databases and other information systems, it has become a more broadly based information system for use by all areas of biosecurity. More information can be obtained from lrhelpdesk@spc.int.

7. Information on WAHIS and WAHID

Ms Anju Mangal presented an overview of the World Animal Health Organisation (OIE), the MOU between SPC and OIE and a brief introduction to the WAHIS and World Animal Health Information Database (WAHID) applications.

Key elements of WAHIS and WAHID were outlined as:

- The OIE is the intergovernmental organisation responsible for improving animal health worldwide. It is recognised as a reference organisation by the World Trade Organization (WTO) and in 2010, had a total of 176 Member Countries and Territories, including FSM, PNG, Fiji, Vanuatu and New Caledonia. The OIE maintains relations with SPC member countries (22 PICTs including the OIE member countries).

WHY DO WE NEED TO REPORT TO OIE?

- To make disease status transparent with trading partners so that they can make decision on whether to trade and HOW TO trade e.g., using import conditions
- SPC negotiated with EU the maintenance of market access conditional upon establishment of improved animal health reporting through the OIE web based system

Memorandum of Understanding on WAHIS Regional Core for the Pacific Community

The MOU is supplementary to an existing agreement established between the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) and the Secretariat of the Pacific Community in September 1999. Signed in December 2008, the purpose of the new MOU is to improve animal disease information sharing among countries through better early warning and enabling coordinated efforts in emergency response and disease control. It is also a guideline to establish procedures, guidelines and obligations governing the data and information sharing between OIE and SPC to feed the information to WAHIS.

OIE agrees to

- Provide SPC on a regular basis information collected by OIE from member countries of the Pacific Community
- Provide member countries of the Pacific Community that are not OIE members, complete access to WAHIS as is provided to OIE members and enable countries to enjoy the same benefits as OIE member countries

SPC agrees to

- Encourage SPC member countries including those that are not OIE member countries to regularly provide information to the OIE using WAHIS
- That the representatives on animal disease reporting for each SPC member country will be the OIE delegate or his or her representative, or the Chief Veterinary Officer or Head of Agriculture Department or his/her representative

Data Ownership

- Data submitted to WAHIS is owned by the governments of the countries submitting the data as authorised by the CVO, Head of Agriculture Department
- As part of the MOU, data that is submitted to the WAHIS system will not be shared or given to other institutions, companies or individuals, other than legitimate purposes of international trade and or biosecurity

Data Submission

- Each member country of SPC shall provide all necessary information for disease notification, including epidemiological information, in accordance with the disease notification requirements outlined in the Terrestrial and Aquatic Animal Health Codes
- With the standard disease reporting requirements, each SPC member country agrees to submit immediate notification of the occurrence of a animal disease event, follow-up reports, six monthly and annual reports through the WAHIS system

Introduction to WAHIS and WAHID

The objective of OIE is to ensure transparency in the global animal disease situation, including zoonoses. By deciding to join the OIE, a Member agrees to fulfill its international commitment to notify to the OIE - as laid down in the OIE's Code ("Notification and Epidemiological Information")
The Veterinary Authority informs OIE Headquarters and OIE Headquarters contacts the Veterinary Authority for follow up reports of the suspicion or confirmation of an outbreak of disease or other epidemiological event, according to the provisions of the OIE's Codes.

The World Animal Health System (WAHIS) – <http://www.spc.int/wahis> comprises the following:

- (a) A monitoring system, which is transparent about the regular animal health situation in each member country or territory. It has information on all OIE listed diseases
 - A Six-monthly Report is submitted twice every year
 - Annual reports contain:
 - Additional information
 - Non OIE listed-diseases
 - Zoonoses in humans
 - Animal population
 - Veterinary Services
 - Laboratories and diagnostic tests
 - Vaccine facilities
- (b) An early warning system - to inform the other Member on an a disease notification that occurred in a country or a territory

The World Animal health Information Database – <http://www.spc.int/wahid> provides access to all data held within OIE's new World Animal Health Information System (WAHIS).

A comprehensive range of information is available from:

- Immediate notifications and follow-up reports submitted by Member Countries in response to exceptional disease events occurring in these countries as well as follow-up reports about these events,
- Six-monthly reports describing the OIE-listed disease situations in each country
- Annual reports providing further background information on animal health, on laboratory and vaccine production facilities, etc.

It has a range of information available:

- By country (or group of countries),
- By disease,
- Focusing on control measures, or
- Comparing the animal health situation between two countries.

Laurence Fooks presented an overview of the terrestrial Immediate Notification pages on WAHIS, using a worked example to illustrate its workings. Eduardo Leaña similarly presented an overview of the aquatic Immediate Notification, with a worked example of an aquatic disease outbreak.

Dr Sina Moala (Samoa) presented an overview of the 6-monthly and annual reports.

OIE International Standards

Dr Ken Cokanasiga and Dr Tim Pickering introduced the participants to a number of the key OIE International Standards publications that provide key technical information relevant to the WAHIS disease reporting system:

- OIE Terrestrial animal health code (2008)
- OIE Aquatic animal health code (2008)
- Criteria for listing of Diseases by OIE
- OIE Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals (2008)

8. Disease reporting exercises using WAHIS test system

Participants were provided with logon access to an on-line WAHIS interface administered by OIE. The WAHIS system was used to enter draft reports, review, edit and submit reports. The OIE member countries were provided with the official username and password.

The SPC resource people provided participants with an Immediate Notification practical exercise for Terrestrial and Aquatic Disease. The participants prepared their Immediate Notification based on the practical exercise. In this way, any apparent anomalies or points for clarification were resolved in conjunction with the resource people during the training session. Once the practical exercise was completed, the participants then prepared their official 2008 and 2009 6-monthly OIE WAHIS report submissions. Those reports for which everything was in order were then reported back to plenary on the final day of the workshop, and were submitted to OIE via the on-line system.

9. Workshop Outcomes

The main outcomes from the two SPC workshops, at the completion of this second workshop on 25th June 2010, are first-time submissions to OIE of six-monthly and annual reports (for 2008) using the online WAHIS system by participants from seven of the eight PICTs represented at the second workshop – FSM, Fiji, Kiribati, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Kingdom of Tonga, and Vanuatu. The remaining country, PNG, prepared reports which were then “saved as draft” in the WAHIS system. These are ready for on-line submission, and will be submitted shortly subject to final checking by their country’s OIE Delegate. Four countries also submitted their reports for 2009. The OIE reporting status of the eight participating PICTS as of 25 June 2010 is shown in Table One.

The national participants who attended this second workshop, their respective roles in the OIE reporting process, and the national authorising officers for their OIE reports, for each of the eight participating PICTs are shown in Table Two.

During the workshop participants identified various recommendations which they endorsed for follow-up action because, if adopted at national or regional level (as appropriate), these will further strengthen their capacity to lodge and regularly update animal health status reports to OIE using the on-line WAHIS system:

- Arrange periodic refreshers and updates for country focal points on the use of WAHIS. Training and familiarisation in the use of the OIE Aquatic Codes should be incorporated

- Advocate for better management of focal point succession issues within departments, to maintain consistent OIE reportage in the face of regular staff turnover
- Address computer literacy of national WAHIS users. Either provide necessary training in use of computers or (if availability of computers is problematic) make formal arrangement to forward hard-copy reports to SPC for on-line submission
- Develop regional and/or nationally-oriented surveillance guidelines and manuals for terrestrial and aquatic animal diseases
- Explore options for SPC-member governments to have an electronic data management system in place for animal health information. TADinfo should be investigated as one possible system
- Formalize national-level arrangements for data collection and compilation via MOU's between terrestrial and aquatic competent authorities, and informally foster official-to-official contacts between ministry/department counterparts
- Formalize regional arrangements for collaboration in disease information and resource material sharing, by MOU between SPC and member governments
- Liaise with OIE about development of a data transfer interface from TADinfo into WAHIS
- Liaise with NACA about disease diagnosis and technical assistance to SPC countries/territories through SPC's Associate Membership of NACA, and prepare briefing paper for SPC members on the "modalities" of working with NACA
- Arrange in-service training or external work-experience attachments in aquatic animal health for veterinarians and para-vets, to strengthen discharge of their statutory functions

Glossary of Abbreviations

NACA:	Network of Aquaculture Centres in Asia Pacific
EU:	European Union
OIE:	Office International des Epizooties (World Organisation for Animal Health)
SPC:	Secretariat of the Pacific Community
TADinfo:	Trans-boundary animal disease information management database
WAHIS :	World animal health information system
WAHID :	World animal health information database

Tabled One: OIE reporting status of participating countries/territories on 25 June 2010

Country	2008 Reports			2009 Reports		
	1st Sem	2nd Sem	Annual	1st Sem	2nd Sem	Annual
Fiji	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Draft	Draft	Draft
FSM	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
Kiribati	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Draft	Draft	Draft
Papua New Guinea	Draft	Draft	Draft	Draft	Draft	Draft
Samoa	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
Solomon Islands	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Draft	Draft	Draft
Tonga	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
Vanuatu	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted

Table Two: Workshop participants, their areas of expertise, and OIE-report authorisations

Countries		Authorisation Template – CVO/Director
Federated States of Micronesia	Terrestrial (Gibson Susumu)	Gibson Susumu
	Aquatic (Valentin Martin)	Valentin Martin
French Polynesia	Terrestrial (Valerie Antras)	Philippe Courard
Fiji	Terrestrial (Robin Achari)	Tomasi Tunabuna
	Aquatic (Meli Raibece)	Sanaila Naqali
Kiribati	Terrestrial (Rakentai Kabotoa)	Kinaai Kairo
	Aquatic (Taratau Kirata)	Taratau Kirata
Papua New Guinea	Terrestrial (Agnes Kila)	Nime Kapo
	Aquatic (Gideon Pama)	Augustine Mobiha
Samoa	Terrestrial (Sina Moala)	Dr Sina Taulealo Moala
	Aquatic (Clifton Sa'e)	Mr Clifton Gosta Sa'e
Solomon Islands	Terrestrial (Barney Keqa)	Barney Banabas Keqa
	Aquatic (Alex Meloty)	James Teri
Tonga	Terrestrial (Naulangi Fosita)	Tevita Faka'osi
	Aquatic (Poasi Fale)	Vilimo Fakalolo
Vanuatu	Terrestrial (Roger Phillips)	Tekon Timothy Tumukon
	Aquatic (Lency Dick)	Moses Amos

SPC Regional Terrestrial & Aquatic Animal Disease Reporting Workshop
Novotel Hotel, Nadi, FIJI.
June 21 – 25, 2010.

List of Participants

Federated States of Micronesia

Mr Valentin A. Martin
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Fisheries Unit
Department of Resources & Development
PO Box PS-12, Palikir, FM 96941
Tel: (691) 320-5133/2620/2646
Fax: (691) 320-5854
Email: fsmrd@mail.fm;

Mr Gibson Susumu
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Department of Resources & Development
PO Box PS-12, Palikir, FM 96941
Tel: (691) 320-5133
Fax: (691) 320-5854
Email: gibson.susumu@dea.fm;

Fiji

Dr Robin Rahul Achari
Acting Principal Veterinary Officer
Ministry of Primary Industries
Animal Health & Production
PO Box 15829, Suva
Tel: (679) 3315-322
Fax: (679) 3301-368
Email: robin.achari@govnet.gov.fj;

Mr Tomasi Tunabuna
Director – Animal Health & Production
Ministry of Primary Industries
Animal Health & Production
PO Box 15829, Suva
Tel: (679) 3315-322
Fax: (679) 3301-368
Email: tomasi.tunabuna@govnet.gov.fj;

Mr Meli Raicebe
Fisheries Technical Officer (Compliance)
Fisheries Department
Level 1, Takayawa Towers, Toorak
PO Box 2218, Government Buildings
Suva
Tel: (679) 330 1611
Fax: (679) 331 8769
Email: raicebe@yahoo.com;

French Polynesia**Dr Valérie Antras**

Veterinary Officer-in-Charge

Département de la qualité alimentaire et de l'action vétérinaire

Service du Développement Rural

BP 100 98713, Papeete

Tel : (689) 42 81 44

Fax : (689 42 35 52

Email: sdr.dir@rural.gov.pf;Or : sdr.gaav@rural.gov.pf**Kiribati****Mrs Rakentai Kaiuea Kabotoa**

Acting Head of Livestock & Animal Health Sector

Ministry of Environment, Lands & Agriculture Development, PO

Box 267, Tarawa

Tel: (686) 28 108

Fax: (686) 28 334

Email: agriculture@tskl.net.ki;Or: kaboraken@yahoo.com;/okayraken@gmail.com;**Kiribati****Mr Taratau Kirata**

Senior Fisheries Officer

Fisheries Division

Ministry of Fisheries & Marine Resources Development

PO Box 276, Bikenbeu,

Tarawa

Tel : (686) 28 095

Fax : (686) 28 295

Email: taratauk@mfmrd.gov.ki;**Marshall Is*****Apologies*****New Caledonia*****Apologies*****Papua New Guinea****Ms Agnes Lois Toka Kila**

Technical Officer – Animal Health (Parasitology)

National Agriculture Quarantine Inspection Authority (NAQIA)

PO Box 741, Port Moresby, N.C.D.

Tel: (675) 325-9977

Fax: (675) 325-1674

Email: akila@naqia.gov.pg; or: altkila@hotmail.com;Or: naqia@online.net.pg;**Papua New Guinea****Mr Gideon Pama**

Fisheries Management Officer – Aquaculture

National Fisheries Authority

PO Box 2016, Port Moresby

121 National Capital District

Papua New Guinea

Tel: (675) 309 0444

Fax: (675) 320 2061

Email: gpama@fisheries.gov.pg;Or Gideon.pama@gmail.com;**Samoa****Dr Sina Taulealo Moala**

Principal Animal Health Officer

Ministry of Agriculture & Fisheries
PO Box 1874, Apia
Tel: (685) 21052/26529
Fax: (685) 26532
Email: leota.laumata@aphd.gov.ws;
Or Kirifi.pouono@maf.gov.ws;

Mr Clifton Gosta Sa'e
Senior Fisheries Officer
Fisheries Division
Ministry of Agriculture & Fisheries
PO Box 1874, Apia
Tel: (685) 203 69 ext. 131
Fax: (685) 24 292
Email: clifton.sae@fisheries.gov.ws;

Solomon Is

Mr Barney Barnabas Keqa
Director – Animal Health & Production
Ministry of Agriculture & Livestock
PO Box G13, Honiara
Tel: (677) 23007
Fax: (677) 23 865
Email: brnkq29@gmail.com;

Mr Alex Mendo Meloty
Chief Fisheries Officer - Aquaculture
Ministry of Fisheries & Marine Resources
PO Box G13, Honiara
Tel : (677) 39 143
Fax: (677) 38 730
Email: ameloty@fisheries.gov.sb;

Tonga

Mr Poasi Fale Ngaluafe
Head – Aquaculture Research & Development Section
Fisheries Division
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Food & Fisheries
PO Box 871, Sopu, Nuku'alofa
Tel: (676) 21-399
Fax: (676) 23-891
Email: poasif@tongafish.gov.to
Or : poasif@hotmail.com;

Mr Naulangi Fosita
Technical Officer
Livestock
Ministry of Agriculture, Food, Forests & Fisheries
PO Box 14, Nuku'alofa
Tel: (676) 29 715
Fax: (676) 24 271
Email: naufosita@yahoo.com;

Vanuatu

Dr Roger Clarence Phillips

Senior Veterinary Officer
Department of Livestock & Quarantine
PM Bag 9095, Port Vila
Tel: (678) 23 519
Fax: (678) 23 185
Email: rogerp@vanuatu.gov.vu;

Vanuatu

Mr Lency Dick

Senior Aquaculture Officer
Vanuatu Fisheries Department
PMB 9045, Port Vila
Tel: (678) 23 119/23174
Fax: (678) 23 641
Email: lnc.kukan@gmail.com;
Or: fishery@vanuatu.com.vu;

OTHER ORGANISATIONS

**PACIFIC ISLANDS
FORUM SECRETARIAT**

Dr Dale Hamilton (*Apologies*)

Biosecurity Trade Adviser
Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat
Private Mail Bag, Suva
Tel: (679) 331 2600
Fax: (679) 322 0215
Email: daleh@forumsec.org.fj;

**Network of Aquaculture
Centre in Asia Pacific
Bangkok**

Mr Eduardo M. Leñaño, PhD

Coordinator, Aquatic Animal Health Programme
Network of Aquaculture Centres in Asia-Pacific
Suraswadi Building
Kasetsart University Campus
Ladyao, Jatujak,
Bangkok 10900
Thailand
Tel: No: +66-2-5611728
E-mail: eduardo@enaca.org
Website: www.enaca.org

**Walt Smith Int'l (Fiji) Ltd
Lautoka, FIJI**

Mr Walt Smith

Royal Palm Road
PO Box 4466
Navutu, Lautoka,
FIJI
Tel: (679) 666 5045
Fax: (679) 666 7591
Email: walt@waltsmith.com;
Website: www.waltsmith.com;

SECRETARIAT OF THE PACIFIC COMMUNITY
LAND RESOURCES DIVISION
Animal Health & Production
Private Mail Bag, Suva, Fiji Islands
Tel: (679) 3370-733; Fax: (679) 3386-326/3370-021
Website: <http://www.spc.int/lrd>

Dr Ken Cokanasiga
Adviser – Animal Health & Production Services
Ext: 364
Email: kenc@spc.int

Mr Laurie Fooks
Training, Information Systems and Small Grants
Advisor (PRIPPP)
Ext:360
Email: laurief@spc.int;

Dr Ilagi Puana
Animal Health Specialist
Ext: 260
Email: ilagip@spc.int;

Dr Elva Borja
Animal Health Laboratory Specialist
Ext: 284
Email: elvab@spc.int;

Dr Tim Pickering
Inland Aquaculture Officer
SPC Suva
Ext: 342
Email: timp@spc.int;

Ms Anju Mangal
Information Specialist
Ext: 362
Email: anjum@spc.int;

Mr Roy Masamdu
Biosecurity & Trade Facilitation Officer
Ext: 258
Email: roym@spc.int;

Dr Narendra Singh
Pandemic Preparedness & Training Specialist
Ext: 237
E-mail: narendras@spc.int

Ms Maria Karalo
Project Assistant
Animal Health & Production Services
Ext: 359
Email: mariak@spc.int;

**REGIONAL TERRESTRIAL AND AQUATIC ANIMAL DISEASE REPORTING
SECRETARIAT OF THE PACIFIC COMMUNITY**

Novotel, Nadi, FIJI: 21 – 25 June, 2010

Programme

Day 1	Monday, 21 June, 2010	
8.00 – 8.30	Registration	
8.30 – 9.00	Opening Ceremony Opening Prayer Welcome and Opening remarks by SPC: Opening Remarks by Forum Secretariat	Ken Cokanasiga (SPC) Tim Pickering on behalf of (PIFS)
9.00 – 9.30	What's at stake with the regional marine ornamental fish trade?	Walt Smith
9.30 – 10.00	Implications of recent EU legislative amendments for countries that export ornamental fish, and outcome of negotiations by SPC. Responsibilities of PICTs under current EU requirements for the import of live aquatic species: disease reporting	Tim Pickering PIFS
10.00 – 10:30	Morning Tea (<i>Inclusive of Group Photo</i>)	Facilitator - Tim
11.00 – 12.30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overview of Animal Disease Reporting in each Country/Territory: • Is there an industry exporting live animals to EU, in particular marine ornamental fish? (Summary of status) • What arrangements or mechanisms are in place, in terms of reporting? • What is the current capacity for reporting? • Main issues or constraints for timely and effective reporting? • What internal mechanisms exist to collate aquatic animal health information for reporting? 	PICTs (15 minute powerpoint – presented jointly if 2 participants per PICT)
12.30 – 1.30	Lunch	
1.30 – 2.30	Country reports (cntd) Open Discussion – main issues, areas of commonality between PICTs	Participants
2.30 – 3.00	Biosecurity Information Facility (BIF)	Roy Masamdu

3:00- 3:30	Afternoon tea	
3.30 – 4.00	Ornamental Fish Trade to EU and Disease Reporting	Tim Pickering
4:00 - 5:00	The OIE Aquatic Codes and Manual of Standards and relevance to international trade Overview of OIE-listed disease threats related to trade, and appropriate national responses: - Terrestrial - Aquatic	Ken Cokanasiga (SPC) Eduardo Leano (NACA)
	End of Day 1	
6.00 – 8.00	Cocktail by the Pool	

Day 2	Tuesday, 22 June	
8.30 –9:30	Overview of NACA? NACA-coordinated regional framework for aquatic animal disease (surveillance, diagnosis, accredited labs, databases, expert advice, specimen referral system, etc.) - How can PICTs access via NACA channels?	Eduardo Leano (NACA)
9:30 -10:00	Open discussion of PICT aquatic animal disease issues	Participants
10.00 – 10.30	Morning Tea	
10.30 – 12.00	Introduction to Group work: Overview of existing Pacific regional frameworks to support national terrestrial and aquatic animal disease reporting systems Silent-brainstorming World Café Group work – constraints at national level Summation of issues and any recommended actions. (1) constraints or knowledge gaps; and (2) strategies to address constraints/gaps	SPC Participants
12.00 – 12.30	Overview – Google Earth	Laurie Fooks (SPC)
12.30 – 1:30	Lunch	
1.30 – 2.30	Introduction to OIE non-listed aquatic diseases of regional relevance: diagnosis and management (emphasis on shrimp, tilapia, pearl, marine ornamental fish)	Eduardo Leano (NACA)

2.30 – 3.00	Hands-on GPS training	Laurie Fooks Anju Mangal (SPC)
3.00 – 3.30	Afternoon tea	
3.30 – 5.00	<p>Presentation of MOU between SPC and OIE (printed and placed in the folder)</p> <p>Introduction to WAHIS and WAHID</p> <p>Overview of Immediate Reporting Practical Exercises (Terrestrial; Aquatic Animals)</p> <p>A “worked example” of an Immediate Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Terrestrial - Aquatic (e.g. koi herpesvirus) 	<p>Anju Mangal</p> <p>Laurie Fooks</p> <p>AH/Aquatic specialists: Sina Moala , Valerie Antras (FP), Eduardo Leano</p>

Day 3	Wednesday, 23 June	
8.30 – 10.00	<p>Reporting Practical Exercises (Terrestrial; Aquatic Animals)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hands-on training with WAHIS Test Version • Account Management • Country Parameters 	SPC
10.00 – 10.30	Morning Tea	
10.30-12.30	Test version on WAHIS - Practical exercises continued..	SPC
12.30 – 1.30	Lunch	
1.30 – 5.00	<p>FIELD TRIP</p> <p>Marine Ornamental exports – Walt Smith International, Lautoka</p>	Tim Pickering

Day 4	Thursday, 24 June	
8.30 – 10.00	<p>Reporting Confirmation (Terrestrial; Aquatic Animals)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft WAHIS submission • Account Management • Country Parameters 	Anju Mangal
10.00am – 10.30	Morning Tea	
10.30 – 12.30	Reporting cntd.	Anju Mangal
12.30 – 1.30	Lunch	
1.30 – 3:00	Reporting cntd.	Anju Mangal
3:00 – 3:30	Afternoon Tea	

3.30 – 5.00	Discussions of each country report	PICTs
	End of Day 4	

Day 5	Friday, 25 June	
8.30 – 10.00	Presentation on each country report to plenary, and online submissions	PICTs
10.00 – 10.30	Morning Tea	
10.30 – 12.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishing Focal points – In country Aquatic and Animal Health reporting mechanisms • Updated OIE contact list • Reporting commitment from countries – 6 monthly report and immediate report • Draft strategy for improved regional disease reporting <p>Endorse drafting of MOU between SPC and PICTs for regional disease reporting and identify items for inclusion (including monitoring role of SPC)</p>	PICTs/SPC
12.00 – 1.00	Lunch	
	End of Workshop	